Complete the following program-level student learning outcomes (SLO) matrix and program-level operational effectiveness goals (OEG) matrix.

Student Learning Outcomes Matrix - Academic Year 2021 – 2022

Identify Each Student Learning Outcome and Measurement Tool(s)	Identify Benchmark	Total Number of Students Observed	Total Number of Students Meeting Expectation	Assess3. Exceeds expect	4. Insufficient data
SLO 1 – Students effectively Psychological).	investigated, examined, an	d analyzed the	components that make-	up the Foundations of Sport	(Historical, Sociological, and
Measure 1 (DM) Diversity Case Study SPTM 605	student assessment measuring CPC content area (Social Foundations of Sport) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).	14	5	35.71%	

	of the students to score an 80% (i.e., B).				
SLO 3 – Students effectively	recognized, described, and	assessed the co	ncepts related to the l	Functions of Sport Manageme	ent.
Measure 1 (DM) Final Written Report SPTM 633	student assessment measuring CPC content area (Sport Marketing) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80%	6	5	83.33%	3
	(i.e., B).				
Measure 2 (DM) Research Proposal SPTM 688	student assessment measuring CPC content area (Sport Communication) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).	12	5	41.67%	1
Measure 3 (DM) Budget Analysis Project SPTM 652	student assessment measuring content area (Finance) is for 70% of students to score at least	13	13	100.00%	3
SP1M 652	an 80% (i.e., B).				
SLO 4 – Students effectively	identified, defined, and ass	sessed the conce	ents related to the Spo	rt Management Environment	
Measure 1 (DM)	student assessment				
Effective Decision Making MNGT 611	measuring CPC content area (Ethics in Sport Management) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).	7	7	100.00%	3
Measure 2 (DM)	student assessment				
Diversity Case Study SPTM 605	measuring CPC content area (Diversity Issues in Sport Management) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).	14	5	35.71%	1
Measure 3 (DM)	student assessment				
Participant Liability Issues Assignment	measuring CPC content area (Legal Aspects in Sport Management) is for	16	14	87.50%	3
SPTM 653	70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).				

SLO 5 – Students effective	SLO 5 – Students effectively identified, explained, applied, and analyzed the necessary components of a research investigation.				
Measure 1 (DM) Diversity Case Study SPTM 605	student assessment measuring CPC content area (Capstone Experience) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).	14	5	35.71%	1
Measure 2 (DM) Research Proposal SPTM 688	student assessment measuring CPC content area (Capstone Experience) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).	12	5	41.67%	1
Measure 3 (DM) Research Proposal SPTM 664	student assessment measuring CPC content area (Capstone Experience) is for 70% of students to score at least an 80% (i.e., B).	6	6	100.00%	3

Note: If you are using different direct and indirect measures for different degree programs, please replicate the matrix, using one matrix for each program that has different measures. If different programs use the same measures, only one copy of the matrix is needed.

SLO Narrative

Your outcomes assessment plan must include, at minimum, two direct and two indirect measures of all student learning outcomes. Some measurement tools will be used to measure more than one student learning outcome. Each student learning outcomes must be measured at least once; including more and varied measures is a better practice and is encouraged. Below, narrate how you "close the loop" by describing any changes and improvements you made and plan to make as a result of your assessment activity:

Address <u>ALL</u> SLOs – those that meet or exceed expectations and those that do not.

Explain why you have measures with insufficient data.

Describe how this outcome assessment data drives curricular and other decisions.

Describe how have you improved/changed this year based on this data (close the loop).

COVID-19 additional explanation requirements: Discuss what modifications you made to your O/A plan, instrument changes, changes in required hours, if/how you fell short in data collection, what was difficult to measure and include how this circumstance has impacted how you are moving forward with outcomes assessment data collection.

Assessment Result

Identify Each Student Learning Outcome and Measurement Tool(s)

- 1. Does not meet expectation
- 2. Meets expectation
- 3. Exceeds expectation
- 4. Insufficient data

Analysis and Narrative(s)

SLO 1 – Students effectively investigated, examined, and analyzed the components that make-up the Foundations of Sport (Historical, Sociological, and Psychological).

Measure 1 (DM)

Diversity Case Study

SPTM 605

.

SLO 1 is considered unmet due to the results of Measure #1. This Case Study assessment is a difficult one (even for graduate students). Moreover, the time that they must collect data is just over six weeks. Many of the students who fell short of the benchmark started too late in the term to reach out to the professor for guidance. The professor plans to implement checkpoints into the schedule to counter the lack of knowledge these students have for

SPTM 592		
SLO 3 – Students effectively recognized, des	cribed, and assessed the concepts related to the Fu	unctions of Sport Management.
Measure 1 (DM)		SLO 3 can be argued as met due to the results of
Final Written Report	3	Measures #1 and #3 exceeding expectations even
	ŭ	after considering the results for Measure #2. The
SPTM 633		SPTM 688 Research Proposal assessment is not
		necessarily a difficult one. Data collection is not

664 was moved to a fall offering to act as a vital introduction for the USI MSSM program to avoid inexperience for latter courses offered in the academic calendar.

The SPTM 688 Research Proposal assessment is not necessarily a difficult one. Data collection is not necessary, but knowledge for Research Methods is vital. This research proposal already contains a check point to help students avoid procrastination. Interestingly, the check point (i.e., Pre-proposal) which still contributes to our benchmark assessment, is what caused Measure #2 to fall short of the expectation. The [full] Proposal showed success with 75% of the students achieving an 80% or higher. The professor plans to re-evaluate the effectiveness of the Pre-proposal in the skill-development of the students.

Program-Level Operational Effectiveness Goals Matrix Academic Year 2021-22

Identify Each Operational Effectiveness Goal and Measurement Tool(s) Identify the Benchmark (e.g., 80%

Measure 2: SLO2 met that is related to the Foundations of	80% of the direct	100% of the direct measures achieved the established	2		
Sport Management.	measures reached.	expectations	3		
Measure 3: SLO3 met that is	80% of the direct	•			
related to the Functions of	measures reached.	67% of the direct measures achieved the established	1		
Sport Management.	measures reaction.	expectations	1		
Measure 4: SLO4 met that is	80% of the direct				
related to the Environment of	measures reached.	67% of the direct measures achieved the established	1		
Sport Management.	measures reaction.	expectations	1		
Measure 5: SLO5 met that is	80% of the direct	220/ 6.4 12 / 12 1.4 / 12 1.4			
related to the Capstones of	measures reached.	33% of the direct measures achieved the established	1		
Sport Management research.	incusures reaction.	expectations	•		
OEG 3: The USI Sport Management program will recruit and retain quality students to meet local and global demands for our graduates.					
Measure 1: Enrollment –	Criterion: The SM				
data reported from	program will admit 15	10	1		
institutional research	students per year.	10	•		
Measure 2: Degrees	Criterion: The SM				
Conferred – data reported	program will graduate	12	1		
from institutional research	15 students per year.				
Measure 3: Graduation GPA	Criterion: For sport	Requirement is implemented and probation is applied until the			
Requirement – data reported	management students	MSSM major reaches 3.0 GPA after all curriculum requirements	2		
from institutional research	to graduate with a GPA	1	2		
	of 3.0 or higher	are met.			
OFC 4: The USI Sport Mone	goment program will con	vistantly provide a high quality advectional experience to SDTM majors			

OEG 4: The USI Sport Management program will consistently provide a high-quality, educational experience to SPTM majors.

Measure 1: Sport Management Alumni Survey (Items 1-2)

student assessment on Alumni Survey data for measuring critical thinking/problem solving is for 70% of students to score a 4.0 on a 5-point scale.

Our Chief Data Officer at USI recently retired (FA21). We already had issues with our database of alumni to contact. Our department needs to revisit the idea of getting alumni feedback via Qualtrics surveys. Our main hurdle is finding resources for an effective means to collect from an alumni sample. The struggles involved up-to-date email and cell phone. A secondary

486 43.64 36 .4804 ref32417.2 34.5 .48002401 02 0 917.2 /TT8 1 5 .4800157Measure

	of students to score a 4.0 on a 5-point scale.
Measure 4:	student assessment for
Sport Management Alumni	Alumni Survey data
Survey (Items 10-11)	measuring diversity is
	for 70% of students to
	score a 4.0 on a 5-point
	scale.
Measure 5: Advisory Board	To have the advisory
 students are part of the 	board meet twice per
advisory board to assist with	year.
making curriculum changes	
and provide feedback for the	
program.	

Measure 4: Faculty Publications and

Program Dashboard Data

Graduate (MSSM	I) Dashboard Data	
Total Enrollment Majors		16
Enrollment Demographic Statistics	Number	Percentage
Female	4	25.00%
Male	12	75.00%
Black, non-Hispanic	3	18.75%
White, non-Hispanic	13	81.25%
Student: Faculty Advising Ratio		
Students		16
Faculty & Staff		3
Full-time, Tenured or Tenure Track Faculty		
Full-time faculty		2
Tenured faculty		0
Tenured track faculty		2
Adjunct/Part Time Faculty	Number	Percentage
Adjunct	4	100.00%
PT faculty	0	0.00%
Ratio of Male and Female Faculty	Number	Percentage
Female	1	16.67%
Male	5	83.33%
Faculty Demographic Statistics	Number	Percentage
Asian	1	16.67%
Caucasian/White	5	83.33%